

Peers Table

Peers (Market cap \$2.8 - \$2.9bn)	Exposure	Management	ESG Risk Rating
1. mBank S.A.	47.4 Medium	72.7 Strong	14.8 Low
2. AMMB Holdings Bhd	42.7 Medium	31.2 Average	30.0 Medium
3. Banco del Bajío SA	50.5 Medium	20.7 Weak	40.6 Severe
4. Al Ahli Bank of Qatar	47.5 Medium	10.6 Weak	42.8 Severe
5. Banca Transilvania SA	50.0 Medium	14.1 Weak	43.4 Severe



Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

ESG Risk Analysis

Exposure refers to the extent to which a company is exposed to different material ESG Issues. The exposure score takes into consideration subindustry and company-specific factors such as its business model.



In FY2020, mBank served around 5.7 million individual clients and microenterprises. Failure to provide a simple and fair description of its products or involvement in discriminatory lending practices could lead to increased regulatory scrutiny and litigation from customers. In addition, due to its diversified offering and client base, which includes domestic and international corporate and private banking clients, mBank faces ethical risks related to potential involvement in money laundering, illegal activities financing or sanctions violations. Misconduct can lead to costly fines and reputational loss. Furthermore, due to the amount of confidential data it collects, processes and stores, mBank faces risks related to cyberattacks and data leaks, especially considering its evolving mobile and digital offerings.

The company's overall exposure is medium and is moderately above subindustry average. Data Privacy and Security, Business Ethics and Product Governance are notable material ESG issues.

Management refers to how well a company is managing its relevant ESG issues. The management score assesses the robustness of a company's ESG programs, practices, and policies.



mBank's overall ESG-related disclosure follows best practice, signalling strong accountability to investors and the public. The company's ESG-related issues are overseen by the supervisory board, suggesting that these are integrated in core business strategy. Despite strong performance in managing ESG issues, since 2019, mBank has been facing financial and legal risks due to customer lawsuits related to mortgage loans denominated in Swiss Francs. Furthermore, the Polish National Labour Inspectorate initiated an investigation over the alleged unlawful termination of employment with an employee, who was named chairman of a trade union established two months prior to the dismissal.

The company's overall management of material ESG issues is strong.



Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

Material ESG Issues

These are the Material ESG Issues driving the ESG Risk Rating.

Issue Name	ESG Risk Exposure Score Category	ESG Risk Management Score Category	ESG Risk Rating Score Category	Contribution to ESG Risk Rating
Product Governance Significant Events 🛕	8.4 High	56.3 Strong	3.7 Low	24.9%
Corporate Governance	9.0 High	61.2 Strong	3.5 Low	23.6%
Human Capital	6.3 Medium	61.3 Strong	2.6 Low	17.8%
Data Privacy and Security	8.8 High	90.0 Strong	2.5 Low	16.6%
Business Ethics	9.2 High	89.7 Strong	1.4 Negligible	9.2%
ESG Integration -Financials	5.7 Medium	79.5 Strong	1.2 Negligible	7.9%
Overall	47.4 Medium	72.7 Strong	14.8 Low	100.0%

Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations.

Category	(Events)
----------	----------

🛕 Severe (0)

- 🛆 High (0)
- ▲ Significant (1)

Quality and Safety

\land Moderate (1)

Labour Relations

\rm Low (1)

Anti-Competitive Practices



Accounting and Taxation

Data Privacy and Security

Lobbying and Public Policy

Society - Human Rights

Business Ethics

Sanctions

mBank S.A.

Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations. Category (Events)

M None (12)

Access to Basic Services

Bribery and Corruption

Carbon Impact of Products

Environmental Impact of Products

Marketing Practices

Social Impact of Products



Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

Risk Decomposition



Momentum Details





Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

Beta (Beta, β)

A factor that assesses the degree to which a company's exposure deviates from its **subindustry**'s exposure on a **material ESG issue**. It is used to derive a company-specific issue exposure score for a material ESG issue. It ranges from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no exposure, 1 indicating the subindustry average, and 10 indicating exposure that is ten times the subindustry average.

Corporate Governance Pillar

A pillar provides a signal about a company's management of a specific Corporate Governance issue.

ESG Risk Category

Companies' ESG Risk Rating scores are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the ESG Risk Rating:

Negligible risk: enterprise value is considered to have a negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Low risk: enterprise value is considered to have a low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Medium risk: enterprise value is considered to have a medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

High risk: enterprise value is considered to have a high risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Severe risk: enterprise value is considered to have a severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the ESG Risk Rating; it applies the concept of risk decomposition to derive the level of unmanaged risk for a company.

Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

Excess Exposure

The difference between the company's exposure and its subindustry exposure.

Exposure

A company or $\ensuremath{\textit{subindustry's}}$ sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

Sustainalytics ESG Risk Rating Summary Report

Idiosyncratic Issue

An issue that was not deemed material at the **subindustry** level during the **consultation process** but becomes a **material ESG issue** for a company based on the occurrence of a Category 4 or 5 event.

Manageable Risk

Material ESG risk that can be influenced and managed through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Managed Risk

Material ESG Risk that has been managed by a company through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

Management Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a company's management of an ESG issue through policies, programmes or quantitative performance.

Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the ESG Risk Rating. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given subindustry.

Subindustry

Subindustries are defined as part of Sustainalytics' own classification system.

Unmanageable Risk

Material ESG Risk inherent from the intrinsic nature of the products or services of a company and/or the nature of a company's business, which cannot be managed by the company if the company continues to offer the same type of products or services and remains in the same line of business.

Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: **unmanageable risk**, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives, but which may not yet be managed (management gap).



Diversified Banks Poland WAR:MBK

DISCLAIMER

Copyright © 2022 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third parties suppliers (Third Party Data), intended for internal, non-commercial use, and may not be copied, distributed or used in any way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in writing. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute investment advice; (2) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (3) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness.

These are based on information made available by third parties, subject to continuous change and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics' opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics nor any of its third-party suppliers accept any liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their respective terms of use is available on our website. For more information, visit http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers.

